Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/202,549	TSICHLIS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	David A. Lambertson	1636	
All Participants:	articipants: Status of Application: 7/22/04		
(1) <u>David A. Lambertson</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Nanda P.B.A.Kumar</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 22 July 2004	Time: <u>9 AM</u>		
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Yes ☐ Note of the Service of the Se	Applicant's representative)	~	
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed:  Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE Applicant's representative was contacted regarding the s response to the voice-mail, and it was presumed that the	tatus of the case, and a voicemail wa		
Part III.			
<ul> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief separate in the provide a sep did not result in resolution of all issues.</li> </ul>	on. The examiner will provide a volume to the control of the substance of	written summary of the substance the interview, since the interview	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Apr	oplicant/Applicant's Representativ	e Signature – if appropriate)	